

Prudential PruFund Planet 3 Fund

Climate Report as at 31 December 2022

Climate-related Financial Disclosures

This report is designed to help you understand more about the impact this fund has on the climate and will give you the ability to compare a range of climate metrics with other funds. A glossary of terms used in this document can be found here - [TCFD Glossary](#).

The report is based on the recommendations set out in the global standards set by the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures – (TCFD). The report sets out a range of different climate metrics that can be used to assess climate related risks and opportunities associated with the fund.

We recognise that the investments within the fund could have an impact on climate change and equally, climate change could influence the performance of investments in the fund. To understand the governance, strategy and risk management that Prudential Assurance Company (PAC) has in place to manage the risks and opportunities related to climate change, please refer to the Prudential [Entity TCFD report](#).

The fund considers Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) factors in its investment strategy, with climate change being one underlying factor. It also aligns with the PAC ESG Investment Policy, which sets thresholds and screening criteria for coal related investments in line with the M&G Plc Thermal Coal Position. Our stewardship approach includes active ownership, relying on our investment managers to engage with companies to change their behaviour to help reduce the impact of climate change. The fund may therefore retain exposure to higher emitting companies, with the aim of engaging to influence real world emissions reductions, rather than to merely exclude.

The climate metrics are only provided if reliable climate data and appropriate methodologies are available. Where a type of asset class is not a material proportion of the total fund value, less than 5%, then climate metrics are not provided. PruFund Planet was launched in 2021 as a fund-of-funds structure. For some of these funds, Prudential are not entitled to look through to the underlying holdings and don't have emissions data associated with these funds. This will lower the Data Coverage relative to products in which we have full look through within the underlying fund.

Definition of climate metrics

Financed Carbon Emissions

(FCE): Represent the total financed greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with the fund. The larger the number, the more it is contributing to the effects of climate change. The FCE is directly related to the size of the fund and therefore it is difficult to use to compare across funds.

tCO₂e: Refers to tonnes of carbon dioxide (CO₂) equivalent. There are a number of greenhouse gases which warm the earth with different intensity levels. Rather than providing metrics for each gas they are converted into tCO₂e for reporting.

Scope 1 emissions: Are the direct emissions associated with the business operations eg, a utility company's emissions from combusting fuel.

Scope 2 emissions: Are the indirect emissions associated with the business' heating/power requirements eg, a software company's emissions from buying electricity.

Climate metrics for the Fund investments

Financed Carbon

Emissions: tCO₂e/tCO₂



Company shares and/or bonds

1,499 tCO₂e
Data coverage: 73%

Government bonds Production

512 tCO₂
Data coverage: 98%

Consumption

1,093 tCO₂
Data coverage: 95%

Data coverage

The data coverage ratios for this particular metric for all asset classes is sufficient to be relied upon. Any data gap is likely to be as a result of climate or financial data not being reported for the underlying asset types. Lower data coverage results in reduced reliability for this climate metric.

Government Bonds - Production FCE represent the scope 1 Financed Government Bonds Production Emissions of the fund, expressed in tCO₂.

Government Bonds - Consumption FCE represent the scope 1+2+3 Financed Government Bonds Consumption Emissions of the fund, expressed in tCO₂.

Carbon Footprint (CF): Refers to financed carbon emissions divided by the fund's market value, expressed in tCO₂e/£m invested. The larger the number, the more it is contributing to the effects of climate change. CF can be used to compare across different funds.

Weighted Average Carbon Intensity (WACI): Is the fund's exposure to carbon-intensive issuers, expressed in tCO₂e/£m sales. The larger the number, the more carbon intensive the investments currently are. WACI allows comparison across different funds.

High impact sectors High impact sectors, such as utilities, construction, real estate, and transportation, are industrial sectors that exert significant influence on global carbon emissions. These sectors are determined based on global industrial sector codes, without taking into account individual company performance. We utilise the Target Setting Protocol (TSP) definition to classify sectors into the high impact categories. For instance, a renewables company and an oil extraction firm would both be categorised as high impact sectors.



Real estate equity FCE represents the absolute scope 1+2 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of the fund.

Carbon Footprint:
tCO₂e/£m invested




Data coverage

The data coverage ratios for this particular metric for all asset classes is sufficient to be relied upon. Any data gap is likely to be as a result of climate or financial data not being reported for the underlying asset types. Lower data coverage results in reduced reliability for this climate metric.



Real estate equity CF represents the absolute scope 1+2 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions divided by the value of investments in real estate equity in a fund, expressed in total tCO₂e/£m invested.

WACI: units vary




Data coverage

The data coverage ratios for this particular metric for all asset classes are sufficient to be relied upon. Any data gap is likely to be as a result of climate or financial data not being reported for the underlying asset types. Lower data coverage results in reduced reliability for this climate metric.

Government bonds – Production WACI represents the Weighted Average Government Bonds Production Intensity, expressed in tCO₂/ £m purchasing power parity -adjusted gross domestic product in GBP.

Government bonds – Consumption WACI represents the funds Weighted Average Government Bonds Consumption Intensity, expressed in tCO₂/capita.

High impact sectors



Data coverage

The data coverage ratio for this particular metric is low. This could be as a result of climate or financial data not being reported for the underlying asset types. A low data coverage results in reduced reliability for this climate metric.

The fund's exposure to industry sectors that exert significant influence on global emissions is material. To define materiality, we have purposely set a relatively low threshold equal to 20% which permits us to better monitor how emissions evolve over time. High impact sectors could include companies that have either a positive or negative climate impact. In order to inform climate-related decisions, this percentage needs to be read alongside other climate metrics as a high exposure to high impact sectors could relate to sectors that have a positive or negative climate impact.

Definition of scenario metrics

Climate adjusted value: This metric is the adjustment of the value of assets in the fund as a result of the climate scenario. A negative number denotes that under the scenario, there will be a devaluation for the fund's underlying assets. This metric is equivalent to value at risk (VaR). Scenario model outputs are expressed as a range of outcomes, reflecting the inherent uncertainty of the underlying assumptions. We have provided the average model output of that range of results.

Orderly transition: Scenario assumes climate policies are introduced early and become gradually more stringent, reaching global net zero greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions around 2050 and likely limiting global warming to below 2 degrees Celsius on pre-industrial averages.

Disorderly transition: Scenario assumes climate policies are delayed or divergent, requiring sharper emissions reductions achieved at a higher cost and with increased physical risks in order to limit temperature rise to below 2 degrees Celsius on pre-industrial averages.

Hot house world scenario: Scenario assumes only currently implemented climate policies are preserved, current commitments are not met and emissions continue to rise, with high physical risks and severe social and economic disruption and failure to limit temperature rise.

Scenario analysis

In addition to backward-looking data, which indicates the recent emissions profile of an asset or fund, we also use forward-looking metrics to assess transition alignment and climate risk exposures over a longer time horizon. The financial impact of climate change on our assets is assessed based on a range of scenarios that have been assessed using a climate scenario model.

Climate scenario models are complex computational tools that simulate interactions between various climatic systems integrating historical data, current observations, and assumptions about future socio-economic behaviour and regulatory landscape to generate plausible scenarios of future climate conditions. They are helpful in understanding potential impacts of climate change, but bear inherent uncertainties due to the long-term nature of their projections. Given the inherent uncertainty and long-time horizons, the model outputs presented here should be considered with caution as they are estimates of projections, not forecasts. Climate models are dependent on numerous assumptions which contain inherent uncertainties, and as such actual future conditions may differ substantially from these projections.

Whilst scenario analysis is in its infancy, the outputs are the most relevant models we have at our disposal to assess impacts across long-term horizons. The key forward-looking metrics that we monitor are outlined below.

Company shares and/or bonds and government bonds modelling results:		
Scenario	Climate adjusted value	Coverage
Orderly transition	-3.7%	80%
Disorderly transition	-4.8%	80%
Hot house world	-4.5%	80%

Assets under management as at 31 December 2022.

All results presented in the table(s) above are based on the Aladdin Climate model version 2.0.

The table above related to company shares or bonds and government bonds shows:

- Under either of orderly or disorderly transition scenarios, there is a material negative impact on the value of the assets, reflecting the cost of transition on the underlying issuers' profit and loss statements.
- Under hot house world scenario, there is a material negative impact on the value of the assets, reflecting the cost of adapting to a changing physical climate (eg increased capital expenditure to insure against flooding).

Real estate and infrastructure equity modelling results	Assets at high risk from future (2100) climate conditions	
	Real estate equity	Coverage
Orderly transition (RCP 2.6)	15.9%	100%
Hot house world (RCP 8.5)	15.9%	100%

Assets under management as at 31 December 2022.

All results presented in the table above are based on the Marsh Climate model, assessing financial impact from physical climate risk on current valuation based on the 2100 modelled climatic conditions.

RCP stands for Representative Concentration Pathway which refer to how much greenhouse gas (GHG) concentration will be present in the atmosphere under a scenario that aligns to the Paris Agreement (2.6) versus a Hot house world scenario (8.5).

The table above related to real estate and infrastructure equity shows:

- Under orderly transition scenario, the assets exposed to high physical climate risk are material. This is because the risk on physical locations from modelled climatic perils such as flood or subsidence are not material when compared to their current risk.
- Under hot house world scenario, there is a material negative impact on the value of the assets, reflecting the cost of adapting to a changing physical climate (eg increased capital expenditure to insure against flooding).

Data coverage

The data coverage ratios for this particular metric for all asset classes is sufficient to be relied upon. Any data gap is likely to be as a result of climate or financial data not being reported for the underlying asset types. Lower data coverage results in reduced reliability for this climate metric.

Definition of implied temperature rise metrics


Implied temperature rise: Is a metric that uses a climate model to estimate the temperature increase that would result from the cumulative greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with the fund's underlying assets when compared against the different scenario's carbon budget trajectories. The larger this metric, the worse the climatic impact. There is no widely accepted industry standard that characterises whether a fund is closely aligned or materially misaligned to the Paris agreement target. M&G have applied expert judgement to determine that categorisation. Refer to the glossary for further details.

Paris Agreement target: The Paris Agreement resulted from the Paris Climate Conference (COP 21) in December 2015 and brought together all COP member nations in an agreement to undertake ambitious efforts to tackle climate change and limit the rise of global temperatures (from pre-industrial levels) to below 2°C, and ideally below 1.5°C.

Implied temperature rise

Implied temperature rise estimates the global temperature increase contribution from a fund's current greenhouse gas emissions trajectory. It is a simplified tool to assess alignment of business strategies with climate goals like the Paris Agreement target. The Aladdin Climate model used to generate this metric mainly accounts for Scope 1 and 2 emissions. However, it overlooks emissions occurring outside direct operations (Scope 3) and any avoided emissions that could have a positive environmental impact (Scope 4). These exclusions can lead to an over- or underestimation of a fund's implied temperature rise.

The climate model results are presented for year 2030 which permit us to better monitor medium-term alignment of funds ahead of the 2050 target. The results suggest that the fund's current underlying issuers' emissions projection are close to being aligned with the Paris Agreement.



2.72°C Prudential PruFund Planet 3 Fund

1.5-2°C Paris Agreement target

Data coverage: 81%

Data coverage

The data coverage ratio for this particular metric is sufficient to be relied upon. Any data gap is likely to be as a result of climate or financial data not being reported for the underlying asset types. Lower data coverage results in reduced reliability for this climate metric.

If you have any questions about anything in this report please speak to your financial adviser. You can also find more information including a [glossary of terms](#) and a [Q&A](#).

We have used several sources of data in this report as well as estimates using our own tools. While we've taken every care in producing this report please be aware that neither Prudential nor the sources used guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of this information or make any warranties from its use. Furthermore, the data presented is for a specific point in time and likely to change in the future and therefore should not be relied on as such.